1/30/2020

Codling Moth Mating Disruption and IPM Updates

Marion Murray USHA 2020 Convention





Exploring Other Codling Moth MD Options

Cidetrak DA MEC Sprayable (Trece) - "add-on" Cidetrak DA Combo Meso Dispensers (Trece) – alternative product

DA

- A naturally occurring compound (ethyl (2E, 4Z)-2,4-decadienoate) emitted from mature ripening pear fruit
- Also known as **pear ester** and referred to as a kairomone.
- Primarily attracts female Codling Moth adults, but also males.
- Larvae wander and stop frequently between hatch site and feeding site.

DA-Combo

- Combines the pear ester with the codling moth pheromone
- When used in a lure, the threshold is 10 moths total or 1 female





MD Product: Trece Cidetrak DA MEC (Sprayable)

How to use it:

Applied at beginning of each generation Tank mixed (0.5 oz/acre) with any spray

Restrictions:

None; compatible with most products, and no phytotoxicity

Residual:

14 days



Cidetrak DA-MEC, Year 1 and 2

Data used from two orchard sites using Isomate CM-Flex mating disruption

Farm A – high codling moth populationFarm B – lower codling moth population

MEC applied six times (Farm A) or two to four times (Farm B)

Assessment in MEC and nearby non-MEC blocks: Weekly trap catch in CM-DA Combo traps and Combo+Acetic Acid Traps Moth gender Fruit injury after first and second generations

Cidetrak DA-MEC Trap Catch, Farm A, 2018 and 2019





Cidetrak DA-MEC Injury, Farm A, 2018 and 2019

Cidetrak DA-MEC Trap Catch, Farm B, 2018 and 2019







Cidetrak DA-MEC Injury, Farm B, 2018 and 2019

% Injury in MEC and Non-MEC, 2018

% Injury in MEC and Non-MEC, 2019

Cidetrak DA MEC Conclusions

For both **Farm A** and **Farm B**, trap catch decreased slightly from 2018 to 2019 in both the MEC and Non-MEC blocks

In general, fruit injury increased in all blocks from 2018 to 2019

For **Farm A**, MEC block had 50% less injury than non-MEC in 2018 and 57% less injury in 2019

- higher moth population
- MEC was applied six times

For **Farm B**, MEC application had no improvement on fruit injury in either year

- lower moth population
- MEC was applied two to four times

Still to Do:

Look at trap catch of the AA (acetic acid) traps and look at #males/#females from traps

Cidetrak DA MEC Conclusions - Cost

Farm A

With MEC application (6 times) and 1.8% injury: Harvest 58.9 bins @ \$30,392 net return - \$27,532 costs - \$90 for MEC = <u>\$2,770/acre return</u>

Without MEC application and a 3.9% injury: Harvest 57.6 bins @ \$29,722 - \$27,532 = <u>\$2,190/acre return</u> MEC application is \$15/acre (product only)

Return is estimated at \$516/bin, 60 bins/acre, and 1,000 apples/bin¹

Fixed plus Variable costs estimated at \$27,532/acre¹

> ¹From WSU Enterprise Budget for tall spindle Fuji

MD Product: Trece Cidetrak CMDA Combo MESO Dispenser

Contains a combination of codling moth pheromone and pear ester kairomone (DA)

Clips onto branches with pole applicator at rate of 18 – 27/acre



Cidetrak CMDA Combo MESO Dispenser

Used in one orchard – USU Ag. Experimental Farm in Kaysville

24 dispensers/acre (no control comparison) in 2018 and 2019

Assessment Compared to 2017:

Weekly trap catch in CM-DA Combo traps and *Combo+Acetic Acid Traps Moth gender* Fruit injury after first and second generations

Cidetrak CMDA Combo MESO Dispenser, Trap Catch



Cidetrak CMDA Combo MESO Dispenser, Fruit Injury

2017 4.6 moths ~ 6% ~ 8% ~ 4%	2017 4.6 moths ~ 6% ~ 8% ~ 4%		Average Weekly Trap Catch - Entire Farm	% Injury Entire Farm	% Injury Block A	% Injury Block B
		2017	4.6 moths	~ 6%	~ 8%	~ 4%
					e a compañía de compañía d	
				WA CO	A L	

Cidetrak CMDA Combo MESO Dispenser Conclusions

Weekly trap catch in CM-DA Combo traps was reduced significantly in 2018 and 2019 compared to 2017 (no MD)

Fruit injury was reduced significantly in 2018 and in Block A in 2019, compared to 2017 (no MD)

Fruit injury in Block B significantly increased due to ineffective disruption (backyard trees, wind)

Still to Do:

Look at trap catch of the AA (acetic acid) traps and look at #males/#females from traps

IPM Updates

Orchard weather station upgrades

- 1. All Temperature and Rh Sensors, batteries, and modems have been replaced
- 2. Calibrations will occur Spring 2020

IPM Updates - Utah TRAPs Website

climate.usu.edu/traps













IPM Updates – Fire Blight Trials, Spring 2020

Test blossom-spray options to determine the efficacy of each

Streptomycin, Kasumin, Oxytetracycline (antibiotics) Blossom Protect (biological) Regalia (biological) Double Nickel (biological) Phyton 27, Cueva (copper soap) LifeGard (biological plant activator)



IPM Updates – Fire Blight Trials, Spring 2020

Test other products for their efficacy in reducing the growth of existing fire blight cankers

- Actigard applied as soil drench pre-bloom
- Apogee applied after bloom
- Actigard applied after pruning out cankers in late spring