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## EXTENSION舜

UtahStateUniversity

## OBJECTIVES

-Review past research
-Point out some key findings relevant to nutrient management

- Establish reasons for current research projects
- Seek input on future work


## RESEARCH REVIEW:

## GET IN THE "WAY BACK" MACHINE!

## SEAN ROWLEY'S WORK (2010-2012)

Table 2.1 Sites A and B descriptions

|  | Site A | Site B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rowley (2013) Site | C | E |
| Location | Santaquin | West Payson |
| Date Planted | 1997 | 1997 |
| Cherry Variety | Montmorency | Montmorency |
| Rootstock | Mahaleb | Mahaleb |
| Adopted Management Practices? | Y | N |
| Experimental Design* | RBD** | RBD** |
| Replications | 4 | 4 |
| Trees per plot | 16 | 10 |
| Treatment: |  |  |
| Year of Application | 2011 | 2011 |
| Control | X | X |
| $0.45 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{0-16-0}$ | X | X |
| $0.45 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{0-0-16}$ | X | X |
| $0.23 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{0-16-16}$ | X | X |
| $0.45 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{0-16-16}$ | X | X |
| $0.91 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{0-16-16}$ | X | X |
| $0.45 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{0-16-16} \mathrm{(2X)}$ | X | X |
| $0.91 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{0-16-16} \mathrm{(2X)}$ | X | X |
| Timing of Sampling: |  |  |
| Year of Sampling | 2013 | 2014 |
| May | X | X |
| June | X | X |
| July | X | X |
| New Growth | X | X |
| Yield | 2011 \& 2013 | 2011 \& 2014 |

*RBD $=$ randomized block design
**Blocked by tree uniformity


# EMILY TSAI'S <br> STUDY:(2013-2014) 

FOLLOW ON SAMPLING OF SEAN'S PERIODICALLY FERTILIZED ORCHARD BLOCKS TO SEE MULTI-YEAR CARRY

Table 1.1 Standard Sufficiency Ranges for Foliar Nutrient Content in Tart Cherry and Peach (Ranges modified from Rowley, 2013; Bryson et al, 2014; Walker et al., 1989)

| Sufficient Foliar Nutrient Content |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tree Fruit | Macronutrients <br> $\%$ |  |  | Micronutrients <br> ppm |  |
|  | P | K | Ca | Fe | Zn |
|  | $0.13-0.24$ | $1.5-3.0$ | $1.0-2.7$ | $50-800$ | $15-125$ |
| Peach | $0.14-0.4$ | $1.0-3.0$ | $0.8-2.6$ | $50-200$ | $18-80$ |

EVALUATE THE EFFICACY OF NUTRIENT SUFFICIENCY RANGES USED IN UTAH

DETERMINE THE TIMING OF NUTRIENT STATUS SAMPLING THAT BEST REFLECTS PRE-HARVEST NUTRIENT SUFFICIENCY

Table 1.2 Sufficient Annual Vegetative Growth Ranges in Tart Cherry and Peach (Adapted from Rowley, 2013)

| Sufficient Annual Vegetative Growth |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tree Fruit | Young | Mature |  |
|  |  | cm |  |
| Tart Cherry | $25-51$ | $20-38$ |  |
| Peach | $25-61$ | $20-38$ |  |

## EMILY'S RESULTS:
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## EMILY'S RESULTS:

Table 2.3 Qualitative Prediction of Pre-harvest Sufficiency Status in Tart Cherry

| Tree Fruit | Site | Year | Nutrient | Bloom vs. Pre-harvest | Mid-season vs. Pre-harvest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tart Cherry | A | 2013 | P | + | + |
|  |  |  | K | - | + |
|  |  |  | Ca | + | + |
|  |  |  | Fe | - | + |
|  |  |  | Zn | - | - |
|  | B | 2014 | P | - | - |
|  |  |  | K | - | + |
|  |  |  | Ca | + | + |
|  |  |  | Fe | - | + |
|  |  |  | Zn | - | + |

## COLE HARDING'S STUDY:

- Given the impact of nutrient deficit on long-term orchard productivity (Sean's work)
- Given that averaging yield and evaluating annual dosing effect on growth and yield does not seem to reveal differential response (Sean and Emily's work)
- Given that we see large spatial variability on some orchard blocks (Cole's preliminary results)
- Then we are concerned that overall productivity may be impacted negatively if significant areas of orchards experience regular nutrient deficits (reduced yield and longevity of trees)


## TAKE HOME MESSAGES:

- Incentive for annual fertilizer application
- Sufficiency ranges for nutrients used by USU are valid (reliably reflect Utah growing conditions)
- Mid-season tissue sampling great predictor of nutrient sufficiency near harvest
- Potassium and Iron regularly show deficits in tissue samples (are we leaving yield on the table?)
- Excited to look more deeply into variable rate application and management of fertility
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